

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/020,140               | 12/14/2001      | Jorma Virtanen       | 111465.130 (PROV-114) | 6632            |
| 20995                    | 7590 07/15/2004 |                      | EXAMINER              |                 |
|                          | IARTENS OLSON & | REDDING, DAVID A     |                       |                 |
| 2040 MAIN S<br>FOURTEENT |                 |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER    |
| IRVINE, CA 92614         |                 |                      | 1744                  |                 |

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/020,140  | VIRTANEN, JORMA              |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |
|  | David A Redding   | 1744                         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ap   | <u>ril 2004</u> .   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This   | 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-12 is/are withdrawn  | from consideration.   | :                            |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   | Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/020,140

Art Unit: 1744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-5 in the reply filed on 4/23/04 is acknowledged. The remaining claims 6-12 are withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 6,030,581 (Virtanen) in view of USP 6,506,594 B1 (Barany et al.) or USP 6,153,425 (Kozwich et al.).

The Barany et al. patent discloses a PCR chain reaction process and a ligase detection process which utilizes amplification agent and detection agent as claimed (col.14, lines 44-col.15, line 39). The Virtanen patent discloses the use of an optical disk for performing PCR chain reaction and detection methods (example 1).

Art Unit: 1744

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the Virtanen optical disk could incorporate the amplification agent and detection agent disclosed In the PCR method in Barany et al. in view of the known use of the optical disk.

The Kozwich et al. patent discloses an amplification and detection process which utilizes amplification agent and detection agent as claimed (example 5). The Virtanen patent discloses the use of an optical disk for performing PCR chain reaction and detection methods (example 1). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the Virtanen optical disk could incorporate the amplification agent and detection agent disclosed In the PCR method in Kozwich et al. in view of the known use of the optical disk.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,140

Art Unit: 1744

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.A.R.

DAVID A. REDDING
RIMARY EXAMINER
REQUE 1300

David Rudo